



Built for Zero Canada
Functional Zero Homelessness
Question and Answer Document

UPDATED

February 11, 2021

Contents

A Quick Overview - What We Mean by An End To Homelessness	2
Functional Zero – Chronic Homelessness.....	2
Functional Zero – Veteran Homelessness	3
Why is BFZ-C focussed on ending chronic and veteran homelessness first?	3
Why is BFZ-C aiming for functional zero rather than absolute zero?	4
Homelessness Definitions.....	5
Homelessness	5
Chronic Homelessness.....	6
What is the Government of Canada’s definition of chronic homelessness?	6
What are provincial definitions of chronic homelessness?	7
What is the US definition of chronic homelessness?	7
Veteran Homelessness	7
Ending Chronic Homelessness Definitions	8
Where is the Government of Canada at in defining an end to chronic homelessness?	8
Where is the national conversation at in defining an end to chronic homelessness?.....	9
Where are the Provinces at in defining an end to chronic homelessness?	10
Who has a definition for an end to chronic homelessness?	10
1) The United States Interagency Council on Homelessness	10
2) Community Solutions Built for Zero	10
3) Built for Zero Canada.....	10
Ending Veteran Homelessness Definition	11
BFZ-C and Functional Zero Homelessness – Key Questions	12
Why has BFZ-C adopted functional zero definitions?	12
What definition of “homelessness” and “housed” is being used in BFZ-C?.....	12
What definition of chronic homelessness is being used in BFZ-C?	12
What definition of veteran and veteran homelessness is being used in BFZ-C?	13
Have any communities achieved functional zero on chronic homelessness?	13
Have any communities achieved functional zero on veteran homelessness?.....	13
How does Built for Zero Canada confirm functional zero milestones?.....	14
What happens if a community achieves functional zero and their numbers increase?	14
What about communities who achieve functional zero? What’s next?	15
Ending Homelessness for All – Definitions and Considerations	16
Who has a definition for an “end to homelessness” generally?	16
Why is the definition for functionally ending chronic homelessness different from that of functionally ending overall homelessness?.....	17
What about the concept of functional zero homelessness with a “gendered lens”?.....	17
What about the concept of functional zero homelessness with an “Indigenous lens”?	18

Introduction

Built for Zero Canada (BFZ-C) is working to support a core group of leading communities end chronic and veteran homelessness – a first step on the path to eliminating all homelessness in Canada.

The BFZ-C Functional Zero Homelessness Q&A includes definitions and provides answers to commonly asked questions about functional zero as it relates to BFZ-C. Items highlighted in yellow draw attention to specific definitions related to BFZ-C.

Note that this document is primarily intended for BFZ-C communities and complements other information included on the website at www.bfzcanada.ca. This document will continue to be updated as needed to further clarify information and/or to include additional questions.


A Quick Overview - What We Mean by An End To Homelessness

When we refer to ending homelessness, we mean that a community has reached **functional zero**, a standard originally developed by [Community Solutions](#). Functional zero is a dynamic milestone that indicates a community has solved homelessness for a population. Reaching and sustaining this milestone is in service of building a future where homelessness is **rare, brief, and non-recurring**. For a quick overview, see the [Defining Functional Zero Key Messages](#) one-pager.

Ending homelessness **does not** mean that no one will ever experience homelessness again. Rather, it means that systems are in place to ensure that any experience of homelessness is brief and permanently resolved, and rare overall. As an analogy, a well-functioning health care system will not necessarily prevent people from getting sick. But it will ensure that people who fall ill are triaged appropriately and receive the services they need so their illness does not become a crisis.

Functional Zero – Chronic Homelessness

A community has ended chronic homelessness when the number of people experiencing chronic homelessness is zero, or if not zero, then either 3 or .1% of the total number of individuals experiencing homelessness, whichever is greater.



Functional Zero – Veteran Homelessness

A community has ended veteran homelessness when the number of veterans experiencing homelessness is less than or equal to the number of veterans a community has proven it can house in a month.



Why is BFZ-C focussed on ending chronic and veteran homelessness first?

Built for Zero Canada is starting with chronic and veterans as the first steps in ending homelessness overall. BFZ-C is working with communities to build coordinated homelessness response systems focussed on preventing and ending homelessness beginning with chronic and veteran homelessness to create proof-points to demonstrate that ending homelessness is possible.

And we know it's possible to end chronic and veteran homelessness! We now have the evidence-based research, tools and program models to support people to get housed and to stay housed. In the US, fourteen communities have already ended chronic and/or veteran homelessness using the same coordinated system, quality improvement and measurable data approaches we have adopted within BFZ-C.

For specific rationale for starting with chronic and veteran homelessness, see further information below.

Chronic

Since 2017, BFZ-C has identified prioritizing those with the greatest needs beginning with those experiencing chronic homelessness as we work with communities to build coordinated homelessness-serving systems focused on preventing and ending homelessness for all people.

Starting with those with the greatest needs (e.g., chronic), allows communities to work across population groups (e.g., youth, men, women, families, veterans, indigenous) and have the greatest possible impact on:

- people experiencing homelessness - reducing premature aging, chronic health conditions, injury, victimization, and early death;
- local homeless systems – focussing efforts and limited resources to relieve pressure on shelters and to focus on ending rather than managing homelessness in ways that will better serve everyone;
- other systems - reducing pressure on other community resources such as ambulance, hospital emergency rooms, policing, and corrections; and
- taxpayers and donors – where focussing on those with the greatest needs has the highest financial and social return on investment.

Veteran

Since 2019, BFZ-C has also identified a focus on ending veteran homelessness. Not only do we owe a duty of care to our veterans but veterans are a small and well-defined population for which we can rapidly build proof-points and test approaches for ending homelessness.

In addition, it is an opportunity to:

- further engage the federal government in ending homelessness by focussing in an area with clear federal accountability
- reinforce and support efforts of veteran organizations and support local partnerships and coordination
- continue to build public support for ending homelessness
- instill confidence in local efforts and partnerships.

Why is BFZ-C aiming for functional zero rather than absolute zero?

BFZ-C's ultimate goal is absolute zero on chronic and veteran homelessness and the Campaign will continue to support communities to get there. However, a measure of functional zero chronic homelessness is identified as the initial goal as it recognizes:

- Chronic homelessness has historically comprised anywhere from 5%-20% of many community's homelessness population. Reducing chronic homelessness to 0.1% of the homeless population or three or less people and sustaining that number for three or more months demonstrates significant progress in designing a local system that ensures chronic homelessness is rare, brief and non-recurring.
- Inflow of chronic and veteran homelessness onto a community's By-Name List may be somewhat unpredictable. For example, despite a community's best efforts, they may not be able to identify, engage and house someone within the month in certain situations (e.g., when someone arrives from another community, has been discharged from an institution without sufficient notice or planning, returns from an inactive status, or unexpectedly loses their housing).

- Sometimes it takes time to engage and build trust with someone experiencing chronic or veteran homelessness or to engage additional community partners to provide the appropriate level of support.

Homelessness Definitions

Homelessness

The Canadian Observatory on Homelessness (COH) created the [Canadian Definition of Homelessness](#) in 2012 and updated it in 2017. In this document homelessness is defined as, “*the situation of an individual, family or community without stable, permanent, appropriate housing, or the immediate prospect, means and ability of acquiring it*”. The definition document also describes a typology of homelessness that includes 1) unsheltered, 2) emergency sheltered, 3) provisionally accommodated, and 4) at risk of homelessness. It also includes the [Definition of Indigenous Homelessness in Canada](#).

The Government of Canada’s [National Housing Strategy: A Place to Call Home](#), was released in April 2017. The following definition of homelessness comes from the National Housing Strategy – adapted from the Canadian Definition of Homelessness developed by COH, and included in [Reaching Home: Canada’s Homelessness Strategy Directives](#):

“Homelessness is the situation of an individual or family who does not have a permanent address or residence; the living situation of an individual or family who does not have stable, permanent, appropriate housing, or the immediate prospect, means and ability of acquiring it.

It is often the result of what are known as systemic or societal barriers, including a lack of affordable and appropriate housing, the individual/household’s financial, mental, cognitive, behavioural or physical challenges, and/or racism and discrimination.”

These two definitions of homelessness have been adopted by BFZ-C and should guide communities when making decisions about which local programs and or situations they count as “homeless” or “housed” in their community.

Chronic Homelessness Definitions

What is the Government of Canada's definition of chronic homelessness?

Through the [HPS Directive 2014-2019](#): *“Chronically homeless refers to individuals, often with disabling conditions (e.g. chronic physical or mental illness, substance abuse problems), who are currently homeless and have been homeless for six months or more in the past year (i.e., have spent more than 180 cumulative nights in a shelter or place not fit for human habitation)”*.

This definition was updated through [Reaching Home: Canada's Homelessness Strategy](#), which began April 1, 2019. [Reaching Home Directives](#) include the following definition of chronic homelessness,

“Refers to individuals who are currently experiencing homelessness AND who meet at least 1 of the following criteria:

- *they have a total of at least 6 months (180 days) of homelessness over the past year*
- *they have recurrent experiences of homelessness over the past 3 years, with a cumulative duration of at least 18 months (546 days)*

Chronic homelessness includes time spent in the following contexts:

1. *Staying in unsheltered locations, that is public or private spaces without consent or contract, or places not intended for permanent human habitation (Canadian Observatory on Homelessness (COH) Typology: 1.1 and 1.2).*
2. *Staying in emergency shelters, including overnight shelters for people experiencing homelessness (including those for specific populations, such as youth, families, and newcomers), shelters for people impacted by family violence, and emergency shelters for people fleeing a natural disaster or destruction of accommodation (COH Typology: 2.1, 2.2, and 2.3).*
3. *Staying temporarily with others without guarantee of continued residency or the immediate prospects for accessing permanent housing, or short-term rental accommodations (for example, motels) without security of tenure (COH typology: 3.2 and 3.3).*

It does not include situations where individuals have access to secure, permanent housing, whether subsidized or not. The definition also does not include time spent in transitional housing or in public institutions (for example, health and corrections), although individuals who are discharged into homelessness from transitional housing or public institutions can be considered chronically homeless if they were experiencing chronic homelessness upon entry to transitional housing or the public institution.”

The 2019 Reaching Home definition of chronic homelessness has been adopted by BFZ-C.

What are provincial definitions of chronic homelessness?

Some provinces have not adopted a definition for chronic homelessness. Those provinces that do have a definition for chronic homelessness have either adopted the Canadian federal definition in some way or have more closely aligned with the US definition.

For example, Ontario's [A Place To Call Home: Report Of The Expert Advisory Panel On Homelessness](#) (October 2015), "Recommendation #2: The Province adopt the Federal Homelessness Partnering Strategy definition for 'chronic homelessness'", which was then included in the Homeless section of the [Long-Term Affordable Housing Strategy Update](#) (2016).

BC Housing adopted a hybrid of the original Federal Homelessness Partnering Strategy definition combining the chronic and transitional homelessness definitions as noted in their [Emergency Shelter Program Framework](#) (2018): "Chronic Homelessness: means a client has experienced Homelessness for six (6) months or more in the past year (i.e. has spent more than one hundred and eighty (180) cumulative nights in a shelter or place not fit for human habitation) and/or has experienced homelessness three or more times in the past year. This also includes individuals exiting institutions (e.g., mental health facilities, hospitals, correctional institutions and children leaving care) who have a history of chronic homelessness and cannot identify a fixed address upon their release."

Alberta's definition more closely aligned with the US definition. [Alberta Human Services - Definitions of Homelessness \(2012\)](#): Chronic Homelessness "Those who have either been continuously homeless for a year or more, or have had at least four episodes of homelessness in the past three years. In order to be considered chronically homeless, a person must have been sleeping in a place not meant for human habitation (e.g., living on the streets) and/or in an emergency homeless shelter".

What is the US definition of chronic homelessness?

The US federal Housing and Urban Development (HUD) released a new definition effective January 2016 which can be summarized as, "To be considered chronically homeless, a person must have a disability and have been living in a place not meant for human habitation, in an emergency shelter, or a safe haven for the last 12 months continuously or on at least four occasions in the last three years where those occasions cumulatively total at least 12 months".

(<http://www.csh.org/2015/12/hud-defines-chronically-homeless/>)

An [overview summary of chronic homelessness](#) was released in April 2018 by Dennis Culhane of the University of Pennsylvania from the Center for Evidence-Based Solutions to Homelessness.

Veteran Homelessness Definition

Built for Zero Canada has aligned its veteran definition with Veteran Affairs Canada. According to Veterans Affairs Canada, a **veteran** includes any former member of the Canadian Armed Forces along with former members of an Allied Forces (e.g., U.S./U.K veteran), former members of the RCMP, former Reservists, Veteran Civilians, and former Canadian Rangers.

A **Veteran experiencing homelessness** includes those who do not have stable, permanent, appropriate housing, or the immediate prospect, means and ability of acquiring it (paraphrased from COH and the Government of Canada’s National Housing Strategy).

Ending Chronic Homelessness Definitions

Where is the Government of Canada at in defining an end to chronic homelessness?

The federal government does not currently have a definition for ending chronic homelessness.

Announced as part of the 2017 budget, the federal government identified its interest in halving chronic homelessness as part of the new National Housing Strategy as recorded by The Star:

- Government estimates peg the number of chronic and episodic homeless at 25,000, meaning the Liberals expect that 11 years from now, federal spending will have cut that number to 12,500. <https://www.thestar.com/news/canada/2017/04/22/liberals-aim-to-cut-homelessness-by-50-per-cent-with-new-reduction-targets.html>
- In The Star opinion article by Adam Vaughan (parliamentary secretary to the Minister of Families, Children and Social Development) and Jean-Yves Duclos (former Minister of Families, Children and Social Development and minister responsible for Canada Mortgage and Housing Corporation) reiterate aim to cut chronic homelessness in half <https://www.thestar.com/opinion/commentary/2017/05/04/canadas-renewed-commitment-to-housing.html>.

This interest in reducing chronic homelessness by 50% was confirmed when [Canada’s National Housing Strategy: A Place to Call Home](#) was released in November 2017.

In its September 2020 Throne Speech, the federal government [announced](#) its goal to completely eliminate chronic homelessness.

The federal government is currently measuring chronic homelessness through two venues. The first is the voluntary national coordinated Point-in-Time Counts (e.g., 2016, 2018 and 2021) in which one of the core questions is related to chronicity “In total, how much time have you been homeless over the past year? [Best estimate], Length _____ days/weeks/months, Don’t know, Decline to answer”. The second way is through the [National Shelter Study 2005-2016](#) using period prevalence data through the Homeless Individual and Family Information System (HIFIS).

In addition, the federal government funded two projects involved in defining an end to homelessness:

- Canadian Observatory on Homelessness through the Homelessness Partnering Strategy (HPS) Innovative Solutions to Homelessness (ISH) Fund for the “Making Zero Count: Defining an End to Homelessness” project (see further information below).
- Canadian Alliance to End Homelessness (2017-present) to support communities in the development of By-Name Lists and Coordinated Access systems to support outcomes

towards reducing and ending homelessness as outlined in their [Reaching Home: Community Homelessness Report](#) (2020).

Where is the national conversation at in defining an end to chronic homelessness?

Over 2016-2019, the COH, the University of Calgary School of Public Policy (SPP), and the Canadian Alliance to End Homelessness (CAEH) have supported a collaborative process to develop a definition of what it means to end homelessness in Canada (**broadly – not necessarily specific to chronic homelessness**).

In the summer of 2016, the COH launched a consultation to seek feedback on a proposed definition of ending homelessness found in the working paper [“Discerning ‘Functional Zero’: Defining and Measuring an End to Homelessness in Canada”](#). See also this [blog post](#) from Alina Turner (University of Calgary; Turner Research & Strategy Inc.) from May 2016. Based on feedback, the [Canadian Definition of Ending Homelessness](#) was released in early 2017 which included the following definitions for “functional zero” and “absolute zero”:

- **Functional End** - A Functional Zero end to homelessness means that communities have a systematic response in place that ensures homelessness (unsheltered homeless, sheltered homeless, provisionally accommodated or imminent risk of homelessness) is prevented whenever possible or is otherwise a rare, brief, and non-recurring experience.
- **Absolute End** - Absolute Zero refers to a true end to homelessness, where everyone has access to supports and appropriate housing so that no one becomes homeless (unsheltered homeless, sheltered homeless, or provisionally accommodated) or at risk in the first place.

From 2017-2019, the COH led the “Making Zero Count: Defining an End to Homelessness” project (funded through HPS) to further develop, create tools, and test the current definition. An Advisory Board was formed to support the process. Project activities include hosting an Indigenous Roundtable in conjunction with the 2017 National Conference on Ending Homelessness and working with five communities to further develop the definition and tools (Kelowna, Regina, Winnipeg, Waterloo Region, and Saint John).

The Making Zero Count Project resulted in continued support for the three-part framework to inform the understanding of an end to homelessness (lived experience, homeless prevention systems, and public systems). It also resulted in the development of three specific tools to assist communities: 1) Service Coordination Resources, 2) Resources for Developing an MOU Between Public Systems & Homelessness Sector, and 3) User Design Toolkit which can be found on the Homelessness Learning Hub [Making Zero Count](#).

Built for Zero Canada is using a specific measurable definition for a functional end to chronic homelessness (see page 11) and is in the process of working with Community Solutions and others to confirm a functional “zero for all” measurable definition (see page 15).


Where are the Provinces at in defining an end to chronic homelessness?

Only Ontario has made a commitment to ending chronic homelessness. While the Province does not currently have a definition for ending chronic homelessness, Ontario identified a goal of ending chronic homelessness in 10 years (by 2025) in its [Long-Term Affordable Housing Strategy Update](#) (2016). Ontario then passed regulations in the fall of 2016 and released [Guidelines for Service Manager Enumeration](#) in March 2017 requiring service managers to enumerate homelessness every two years beginning in spring 2018. The following core enumeration question required by the Province measured chronic homelessness: “In total, how much time have you been homeless over the past year? [Best estimate], Length _____ days/weeks/months, Don’t know, Decline to answer”. In late 2019, the Ontario government released a memo to Municipal Service Managers identifying that point-in-time count enumeration was not required in 2020 and that they would instead be moving to a By-Name List enumeration approach beginning in 2021.

Who has a definition for an end to chronic homelessness?

Three groups have clear measures:

- 1) **The United States Interagency Council on Homelessness**
[Criteria and Benchmarks for Achieving the Goal of Ending Chronic Homelessness](#)
- 2) **Community Solutions Built for Zero**
[Community Solution’s Built for Zero](#) - A community has ended chronic homelessness when the number of people experiencing chronic homelessness is zero, or if not zero, than either 3 or .1% of the total number of individuals reported in the most recent point-in-time count, whichever is greater. This definition aligns with the USICH definition above. Currently this definition only focusses on single adults experiencing chronic homelessness.



- 3) **Built for Zero Canada**
The [BFZ-C](#) definition was adapted from the Community Solutions’ Built for Zero definition above. Functional zero on chronic homelessness is achieved when the number of people experiencing chronic homelessness is zero, or if not zero, then either 3 or .1% of the total number of individuals experiencing homelessness, whichever is greater. Functional zero chronic homelessness is confirmed when this goal is reached and sustained over three consecutive months, as measured through a quality By-Name List (that includes complete and reliable data for single adults, youth and families). Toronto is the only community in Canada that would use the .1% definition as they identified greater than 4,000 actively

homeless during their 2018 PiT Count). BFZ-C is working with Toronto to confirm their functional zero definition.

See this short 4 min animated [video](#) from CAEH on By-Name Lists and Functional Zero Chronic Homelessness for further information.



Ending Veteran Homelessness Definition

Built for Zero Canada has aligned its veteran definition with Veteran Affairs Canada. According to Veterans Affairs Canada, a **veteran** includes any former member of the Canadian Armed Forces along with former members of an Allied Forces (e.g., U.S./U.K veteran), former members of the RCMP, former Reservists, Veteran Civilians, and former Canadian Rangers.

A **Veteran experiencing homelessness** includes those who do not have stable, permanent, appropriate housing, or the immediate prospect, means and ability of acquiring it (paraphrased from COH and the Government of Canada's National Housing Strategy).


The ultimate objective is to have absolute zero veterans experiencing homelessness. On the path to get there, Built for Zero Canada supports communities to reach and sustain functional zero on veteran homelessness:

Functional Zero Veteran Homelessness: A community has ended veteran homelessness when the number of veterans experiencing homelessness is less than or equal to the number of veterans a community has proven it can house in a month.

Further detail: The functional zero threshold is based on the community's six-month average housing rate for veterans. The number of veterans experiencing homelessness must be held at or below this functional zero threshold (or three or less actively homeless veterans) for at least three consecutive months.

Example: If your community is housing four veterans a month on average, you must have four or fewer veterans experiencing homelessness at the end of each month, sustained for three consecutive months. In this way, the community has shown that the capacity of the system to house veterans is greater than the demand.

See this short 4 min animated [video](#) from CAEH on By-Name Lists and Functional Zero Veteran Homelessness for further information.



[BFZ-C and Functional Zero Homelessness – Key Questions](#)

Why has BFZ-C adopted functional zero definitions?

- They are outcome-focussed definitions that:
- Are simple to measure and easy to understand;
- Can be consistently applied across different community contexts based on real-time and reliable data through a [quality By-Name List](#);
- Are strong indicators that a community's local system is functioning effectively to prevent and end chronic and veteran homelessness; and
- Can continue to be utilized monthly to monitor whether functional zero is being sustained.

What definition of “homelessness” and “housed” is being used in BFZ-C?

Homelessness - BFZ-C uses the definitions of sheltered, unsheltered and provisionally accommodated as per the Canadian Definition of Homelessness outlined on page 5.

Housed - BFZ-C uses the definition of stable, safe, permanent and appropriate as included in the Canadian Definition of Homelessness outlined on page 5. Housing should align with the Housing First principle of choice and self-determination and could include many options such as a single apartment, shared housing, family home, or long-term care (as long as it is stable, safe, permanent, and appropriate).

What definition of chronic homelessness is being used in BFZ-C?

BFZ-C follows the updated Government of Canada definition of chronic homelessness released April 1, 2019 as outlined on page 6. Given this change, BFZ-C will be supporting participating communities to work towards capturing data aligned with this new definition over time.

Communities will continue to collect and report chronic data as they are able, noting any differences in their data submission.

What definition of veteran and veteran homelessness is being used in BFZ-C?

BFZ-C has aligned its veteran definition with Veteran Affairs Canada. According to Veterans Affairs Canada, a veteran includes any former member of the Canadian Armed Forces along with former members of an Allied Forces (e.g., U.S./U.K veteran), former members of the RCMP, former Reservists, Veteran Civilians, and former Canadian Rangers.

A Veteran experiencing homelessness includes those who do not have stable, permanent, appropriate housing, or the immediate prospect, means and ability of acquiring it (paraphrased from COH and the Government of Canada's National Housing Strategy).

Have any communities achieved functional zero on chronic homelessness?

Currently, five communities in the United States have been confirmed through Community Solutions' Built for Zero and the US federal government as ending chronic homelessness (see further information [here](#)). Several other communities are actively reducing their numbers on chronic homelessness. The following report highlights the impact of Built for Zero between 2015-2018, [Getting to Proof Points: Key Learning from the First Three Years of Built for Zero](#).

No community in Canada has yet been confirmed through BFZ-C as achieving functional zero on chronic homelessness. Progress in this area is being tracked on the [BFZ-C website](#).

Have any communities achieved functional zero on veteran homelessness?

Yes, communities in both Canada and the US have achieved functional zero on veteran homelessness.

Canada is ending veteran homelessness

In October 2020, London, Ontario became the first community in Canada to reach Functional Zero Veteran Homelessness. Read about their accomplishment [HERE](#).

More communities are on their way to ending veteran homelessness. With [funding from Veterans Affairs Canada](#), Built for Zero Canada is working with 12 participating communities and national partners with the goal to end veteran homelessness in their communities. Read more in this [CAEH blog](#) (November 18, 2019). See participating communities [here](#) and their progress on ending veteran homelessness [here](#).

The US is ending veteran homelessness

Since 2011, the US has reduced veteran homelessness by 43% and has effectively ended veteran homelessness in 78 communities and three states ([NAEH blog, 2019](#)).

This [US Veteran's Affairs site](#) provides updates on the number of communities that have ended veteran homelessness in the US according to the USICH definition ([Criteria and Benchmarks for the Goal of Ending Veteran Homelessness](#)).

[Community Solutions](#) provides updates on the number of communities that have ended veteran homelessness in the US using the more rigorous outcomes-based [Built for Zero definition](#) (the same one used by Built for Zero Canada). Read [this blog](#) to learn more about the difference between Community Solution's functional zero definition and the Federal Criteria and Benchmarks set by USICH. See the following videos from Community Solutions on communities that have ended veterans homelessness:

- [Veterans on Experiencing Homelessness](#) (3.5 min)
- [Ending Veteran Homelessness – It's Happening!](#) (2 min)
- [Gulf Coast, TX First To End Veteran Homelessness](#) (4 min)
- [Bergen County, NJ Ends Veteran Homelessness](#) (3 min)
- [Rockford, IL Ends Veteran Homelessness](#) (3 min) – Rockford, Illinois

How does Built for Zero Canada confirm functional zero milestones?

- Built for Zero Canada confirms functional zero, first by looking at a community's data. When a community reaches their first month at or below their functional zero threshold, their Improvement Advisor begins to work with them to complete a functional zero checklist and community case study.
- Once the community has reached three consecutive months at or below their functional zero threshold and has completed the functional zero checklist and community case study, they are confirmed for functional zero.
- The community then moves into the Zero for All Cohort to focus on sustaining their gains and aiming for absolute zero, while turning their attention and taking what they have learned to reach functional zero for other population groups.

What happens if a community achieves functional zero homelessness and then their numbers increase again?

Once a community is confirmed as having reached functional zero homelessness, they move into the work of sustaining those gains. Sustaining requires continued commitment and on-going system improvements to respond to ever-changing community dynamics. While it is possible that a community's numbers will fluctuate in the sustaining phase, they do not lose their status as having achieved functional zero for a population. However, to maintain their sustaining status, they must continue to meet the functional zero homelessness definition. If a community's numbers increase above the functional zero threshold, they must again reach functional zero to be recognized as sustaining.

What about communities who achieve a functional end to chronic or veteran homelessness?

What's next?

- Communities continue to submit monthly By-Name List data to monitor whether they are sustaining functional zero and to understand what is happening in their system.
- Ending homelessness is never “said and done” because homelessness is not a static problem. Ending homelessness is a dynamic problem that needs to be continually solved - each month.
- Sustaining an end to homelessness takes continual problem-solving and on-going effort and resources. The approaches communities used to reach a functional end will not necessarily be the same ones that are needed to sustain those gains.
- A community may not remain below their functional zero threshold every month. However, what is most important is that they remain accountable to the data and continue to strive for functional and absolute zero – to problem-solve the issues, and continuously adapt and improve their system to wrestle their numbers back down.
- The goal is to have a local system that is “built for zero” so that homelessness is rare, brief and non-recurring.
- When a community can quickly bring those numbers back down at or below their functional zero threshold, they will know the system changes they have made are real.

Why do I still see people on the street when you say you've ended homelessness?

Ending homelessness does not mean that people will never experience it again. What it does mean is that systems are in place to ensure that any experience of homelessness is rare, brief, and non-recurring. Like the healthcare system may not prevent everyone from getting sick it will ensure that people who do get sick are triaged appropriately and receive the services they need to improve their health before it becomes a crisis.

Also, there are times when people may perceive that someone is homeless when in fact they have a home – making inaccurate assumptions about their housing situation based on a disability, the way they are dressed, or their activities.

Ending Homelessness for All – Definitions and Considerations

Who has a definition for an “end to homelessness” generally?

Definitions for functionally ending all homelessness are outlined below under the headings of Canada, the US Federal Government and Community Solutions.

Canada

- From the Making Zero Count project (see page 9) an overall definition of a **Functional Zero end to homelessness** means that communities have a systematic response in place that ensures homelessness (unsheltered homeless, sheltered homeless, provisionally accommodated or imminent risk of homelessness) is prevented whenever possible or is otherwise a rare, brief, and non-recurring experience.
- Province of Alberta – [A Plan for Alberta: Ending Homelessness in 10 Years](#) (2008, pg.14), “Ending homelessness will mean that even though there may still be emergency shelters available for those who become homeless, those who become homeless will be re-housed into permanent homes within 21 days”.
- Medicine Hat – [At Home in Medicine Hat: Our Plan to End Homelessness](#) (2014, pg. 10), “An end to homelessness means that no one in our community will have to live in an emergency shelter or sleep rough for more than 10 days before they have access to stable housing and the supports needed to maintain it.”

United States Federal Government - The US has done considerable work defining an end to homelessness for a variety of populations and/or conditions as they worked to implement “[Opening Doors: Federal Strategic Plan to Prevent and End Homelessness](#)”. This federal plan was first released 2010 and updated in 2012 and 2015 (and was again updated in 2020 as [Expanding the Toolbox: A Whole of Government Approach to Ending Homelessness](#)). The initial plan set goals to end veteran’s homelessness by 2015, chronic homelessness by 2017, and family and youth homelessness by 2020. As they worked towards these goals, they have created and evolved the following definitions for an end to homelessness:

- [Criteria and Benchmarks for Achieving the Goal of Ending Veteran’s Homelessness](#)
- [Criteria and Benchmarks for Achieving the Goal of Ending Chronic Homelessness](#)
- [Criteria and Benchmarks for Achieving the Goal of Ending Family Homelessness](#)
- [Criteria and Benchmarks for Achieving the Goal of Ending Youth Homelessness](#)

Community Solutions - Community Solutions Built for Zero is aligned with the US federal government definition for functionally ending chronic homelessness but has an alternate and more rigorous measure for functionally ending veteran’s homelessness. They do not yet have a definition specifically for families and youth, but definitions for these populations could align with the veteran’s definition). See below.

A functional end to veteran’s homelessness has been achieved when the number of veteran’s experiencing homelessness is equal to or less than the past six-month average monthly housing rate, sustained for three consecutive months. So that on a monthly basis, the community has demonstrated the system capacity to house the number of veterans experiencing homelessness each month). For a further explanation, see this short [video](#) from Community Solutions on By-Name Lists and Functional Zero for Veteran’s Homelessness.



In their report, [Getting to Proof Points: Key Learning from the First Three Years of the Built for Zero Initiative](#) (2018), Community Solutions outlines the differences in definitions and also considers key strengths and weaknesses, as with the veteran’s definition outlined below:

“The strengths of this definition are obvious: it is simple, clear and objectively measurable. It is also tied to a community’s actual data, which requires each community to track and monitor veteran homelessness over time. The definition also has weaknesses, however. For one thing, the definition does not consider length of time homeless, which means a community could have the same, small group of veterans stuck on its streets month after month with no incentive to house them. (We have yet to see this phenomenon, but it is possible.) We have begun to consider revisions to the definition of sustainability, one of which might simply be that no veteran ever experiences homelessness in a community for more than a single month. This would make the number of veterans experiencing homelessness less important, provided they could be housed very quickly— stock and flow analysis in its purest form.”

[Why is the definition for functionally ending chronic homelessness different from that of functionally ending overall homelessness?](#)

A functional end to chronic homelessness should be measured differently from that of a functional end to overall homelessness for one key reason: unlike youth, family, or veteran homelessness, chronic homelessness is primarily a function of time and condition, not affiliation. Everyone is considered “homeless” the day they hit the streets; whereas, people must go without a home for more than 180 days in the past year or 18 months in the past three years to be defined as chronically homeless (see page 5). A good community system has ample time to connect people to housing before they meet the chronic definition, which means a hard zero (or very close) on chronic homelessness should be possible.

[Are there any ideas or resources related to the concept of functional zero homelessness with a “gendered lens”?](#)

Stated another way, how is or can definitions for functionally ending homelessness be inclusive of all people along the gender spectrum (e.g., men, women, transgendered, two-spirit)? A definition

of functional zero must first consider what is meant by “homelessness” and what is meant by “housed”. The current definition of homelessness is outlined on page 5 and recognizes many different typologies of homelessness under the headings of unsheltered, emergency sheltered, provisionally accommodated, and at-risk of homelessness. The definitions of what is meant by “housed” is included in above and includes a wide range of housing options. Definitions for functional zero are outlined on pages 10-11. It is currently understood that these definitions are inclusive of all people along the gender spectrum.

[Are there any ideas or resources related to the concept of functional zero homelessness with an “Indigenous lens”?](#)

As with the previous question, this consideration would need to begin with a definition of homelessness that is culturally sensitive to the histories of indigenous people and the impacts of colonization. See the [Definition of Indigenous Homelessness in Canada](#). The other key consideration is what is meant by “housed” – see above for the current BFZ-C definition.